

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY - ENFORCEMENT MATTER

Page 1 of 3

DOCKET NO.: 2005-0051-MWD-E **TCEQ ID:** Texas Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("TPDES")
Permit No. 13092001 and RN101517308 **CASE NO.:** 23644
RESPONDENT NAME: Brookeland Independent School District

ORDER TYPE:		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1660 AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS AGREED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS ORDER FOLLOWING SOAH HEARING
<input type="checkbox"/> FINDINGS DEFAULT ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> SHUTDOWN ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> IMMINENT AND SUBSTANTIAL ENDANGERMENT ORDER
<input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED ORDER	<input type="checkbox"/> EMERGENCY ORDER	
CASE TYPE:		
<input type="checkbox"/> AIR	<input type="checkbox"/> MULTI-MEDIA (check all that apply)	<input type="checkbox"/> INDUSTRIAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTE
<input type="checkbox"/> PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY	<input type="checkbox"/> PETROLEUM STORAGE TANKS	<input type="checkbox"/> OCCUPATIONAL CERTIFICATION
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WATER QUALITY	<input type="checkbox"/> SEWAGE SLUDGE	<input type="checkbox"/> UNDERGROUND INJECTION CONTROL
<input type="checkbox"/> MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> RADIOACTIVE WASTE	<input type="checkbox"/> DRY CLEANER REGISTRATION
<p>SITE WHERE VIOLATION(S) OCCURRED: Brookeland Independent School District, on the east side of State Highway Loop No. 149, approximately 1,000 feet south of the intersection of State Highway Loop No. 149 and State Highway Spur No. 165, Brookeland, Sabine County</p> <p>TYPE OF OPERATION: Wastewater treatment facility</p> <p>SMALL BUSINESS: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>OTHER SIGNIFICANT MATTERS: There are no complaints. There is no record of additional pending enforcement actions regarding this facility location.</p> <p>INTERESTED PARTIES: No one other than the ED and the Respondent has expressed an interest in this matter.</p> <p>COMMENTS RECEIVED: The <i>Texas Register</i> comment period expired on October 29, 2007. No comments were received.</p> <p>CONTACTS AND MAILING LIST: TCEQ Attorney/SEP Coordinator: Ms. Melissa Keller, SEP Coordinator, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-1768 TCEQ Enforcement Coordinator: Mr. Michael Meyer, Enforcement Division, Enforcement Team 7, MC 128, (512) 239-4492; Mr. Bryan Sinclair, Enforcement Division, MC 219, (512) 239-2171 Respondent: Ms. Lana L. Comeaux, Superintendent, Brookeland Independent School District, P.O. Box 8, Brookeland, Texas 75931 Respondent's Attorney: Not represented by counsel on this enforcement matter</p>		

VIOLATION SUMMARY CHART:

VIOLATION INFORMATION	PENALTY CONSIDERATIONS	CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TAKEN/REQUIRED
<p>Type of Investigation: <input type="checkbox"/> Complaint <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Routine <input type="checkbox"/> Enforcement Follow-up <input type="checkbox"/> Records Review</p> <p>Date(s) of Complaints Relating to this Case: None</p> <p>Date of Investigation Relating to this Case: October 14, 2004</p> <p>Date of NOV/NOE Relating to this Case: November 29, 2004 (NOE)</p> <p>Background Facts: This was a routine investigation. Four violations were documented.</p> <p>WATER</p> <p>1) Failed to properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(5) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Operational Requirement No. 1].</p> <p>2) Failed to comply with the chlorine residual effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. 13092001. Specifically, the Respondent exceeded the TPDES Permit No. 13092001 chlorine residual maximum concentration limit of 4.0 milligrams per liter (mg/L) in April 2004, reported as 7.0 mg/L [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Final Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No. 2, and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a)].</p> <p>3) Failed to report, in writing, to the TCEQ Regional Office and the Enforcement Division, within five working days, any effluent violation which deviated from the permitted effluent limitation by more than 40% [30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirement No. 7c.].</p> <p>4) Failed to employ a licensed wastewater treatment operator to perform chlorine residual analysis and monitoring [30 TEX.</p>	<p>Total Assessed: \$4,500</p> <p>Total Deferred: \$900 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Expedited Settlement <input type="checkbox"/> Financial Inability to Pay</p> <p>SEP Conditional Offset: \$3,600</p> <p>Total Paid (Due) to General Revenue: \$0</p> <p>Site Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Person Compliance History Classification <input type="checkbox"/> High <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Average <input type="checkbox"/> Poor</p> <p>Major Source: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicable Penalty Policy: September 2002</p>	<p>Corrective Actions Taken:</p> <p>1) The Executive Director recognizes that the Respondent has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:</p> <p>a. On October 14, 2004, assigned a wastewater operator with a current class C license, expiring on December 5, 2006, to perform all operations and monitoring of the Facility;</p> <p>b. On October 15, 2004, pumped out settled solids from receiving waters which had accumulated in the past;</p> <p>c. On October 20, 2004, purchased new standard solutions for the chlorine residual analysis for quality assurance and control; and</p> <p>d. On May 31, 2004, achieved compliance with effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. 13092001.</p> <p>Ordering Provisions:</p> <p>2) The Order will require the Respondent to implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project (SEP). (See SEP Attachment A)</p> <p>3) The Order will also require the Respondent to:</p> <p>a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin properly operating and maintaining the Facility and all of its systems of collection, treatment, and disposal. This includes the development of a solids management plan ("SMP"). The SMP shall outline a program of internal process control testing to monitor the efficiency of the wastewater treatment plant and to maintain the proper solids balance within the system. The SMP shall be prepared by a Texas registered professional engineer or a class "A" TCEQ Certified Wastewater Operator;</p> <p>b. Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, have the engineer or a class "A" operator review the SMP in the</p>

<p>ADMIN. CODE §§ 30.331(b) and 30.350(d) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Operational Requirement No. 9].</p>		<p>field with the Respondent's wastewater treatment plant operator(s). Immediately following the field review implement the SMP;</p> <p>c. Immediately upon implementation of the SMP, begin maintaining organized, written records of the process control tests results for a period of three years at the plant site in a daily log book and/or checklist and make available to TCEQ representatives upon request; and</p> <p>d. Within 105 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit a written certification statement demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provisions 3.a., 3.b., and 3.c.</p>
--	--	---

TPDES0099082, WQ13092001

Attachment A
Docket Number: 2005-0051-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	Brookeland Independent School District
Payable Penalty Amount:	Three Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$3,600)
SEP Amount:	Three Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$3,600)
Type of SEP:	Pre-approved
Third-Party Recipient:	Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")- Wastewater Treatment Assistance
Location of SEP:	Sabine County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide low income rural homeowners with assistance to enable the repair or replacement of their failing on-site wastewater systems. SEP monies will be used to pay for the labor and materials costs related to repairing or replacing the failing systems. The recipients will not be charged for the cost of replacing or repairing the failing systems.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by protecting water sources for drinking, recreation, and wildlife from contamination from failing treatment systems

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.
1716 Briarcrest Drive
Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division, Revenues
Attention: Cashier, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.



Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Penalty Calculation Worksheet (PCW)

PCW Revision December 10, 2004

DATES	Assigned	06-Dec-2004	Screening	10-Jan-2005	Priority Due	04-Feb-2005	EPA Due	
	PCW	08-Jan-2005						

RESPONDENT/FACILITY INFORMATION

Respondent	Brookeland Independent School District		
Reg. Ent. Ref. No.	RN101517308		
Additional ID No(s).	TPDES No. 13092001		
Facility/Site Region	10-Beaumont	Major/Minor Source	Minor Source

CASE INFORMATION

Enf./Case ID No.	23644	No. of Violations	4
Docket No.	2005-0051-MWD-E	Order Type	1660 with deferral
Case Priority	3	Enf. Coordinator	Merrilee Hupp
Media Program(s)	Water Quality	EC's Team	Enforcement Team 1
Multi-Media			
Admin. Penalty \$ Limit Minimum	\$0	Maximum	\$10,000

Penalty Calculation Section

TOTAL BASE PENALTY (Sum of violation base penalties)

Subtotal 1 \$3,000

ADJUSTMENTS (+/-) TO SUBTOTAL 1

Subtotals 2-7 are obtained by multiplying the Total Base Penalty (Subtotal 1) by the indicated percentage.

Compliance History 50% Enhancement Subtotals 2, 3, & 7 \$1,500

Notes Upward adjustment due to eight same or similar self-reported NOV's and two other NOV's issued for same or similar violations.

Culpability No 0% Enhancement

Subtotal 4 \$0

Notes The respondent does not meet the culpability criteria.

Good Faith Effort to Comply 0% Reduction

Subtotal 5 \$0

	Before NOV	NOV to EDPRP/Settlement Offer
Extraordinary		
Ordinary		
N/A	X	(mark with a small x)

Notes Events include a past event with no opportunity to comply.

Economic Benefit		0% Enhancement*
Total EB Amounts	\$5,163	*Capped at the Total EB \$ Amount
Approx. Cost of Compliance	\$20,555	

Subtotal 6 \$0

SUM OF SUBTOTALS 1-7

Final Subtotal \$4,500

OTHER FACTORS AS JUSTICE MAY REQUIRE

Adjustment \$0

Reduces or enhances the Final Subtotal by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. -30 for -30%.)

Notes

Final Penalty Amount \$4,500

STATUTORY LIMIT ADJUSTMENT

Final Assessed Penalty \$4,500

DEFERRAL

20% Reduction

Adjustment -\$900

Reduces the Final Assessed Penalty by the indicated percentage. (Enter number only; e.g. 20 for 20% reduction.)

Notes Deferral offered for expedited settlement.

PAYABLE PENALTY

\$3,600

Screening Date 10-Jan-2005	Docket No. 2005-0051-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent Brookeland Independent School District	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>	
Case ID No. 23644	<i>PCW Revision December 10, 2004</i>	
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101517308		
Additional ID No(s). TPDES No. 13092001		
Media [Statute] Water Quality		
Enf. Coordinator Merrilee Hupp		
Site Address	East side of State Highway Loop No. 149, approximately 1000 feet south of the intersection of State Highway Loop No. 149 and State Spur No. 165, Sabine County	

Compliance History Worksheet>> **Compliance History Site Enhancement (Subtotal 2)**

Component	Number of...	Enter Number Here	Adjust.
NOVs	Written NOVs with same or similar violations as those in the current enforcement action (<i>number of NOVs meeting criteria</i>)	10	50%
	Other written NOVs	0	0%
Orders	Any agreed final enforcement orders containing a denial of liability (<i>number of orders meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final enforcement orders, agreed final enforcement orders without a denial of liability, or default orders of this state or the federal government, or any final prohibitory emergency orders issued by the commission	0	0%
Judgments and Consent Decrees	Any non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees containing a denial of liability of this state or the federal government (<i>number of judgments or consent decrees meeting criteria</i>)	0	0%
	Any adjudicated final court judgments and default judgments, or non-adjudicated final court judgments or consent decrees without a denial of liability, of this state or the federal government	0	0%
Convictions	Any criminal convictions of this state or the federal government (<i>number of counts</i>)	0	0%
Emissions	Chronic excessive emissions events (<i>number of events</i>)	0	0%
Audits	Letters notifying the executive director of an intended audit conducted under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which notices were</i>	0	0%
	Disclosures of violations under the Texas Environmental, Health, and Safety Audit Privilege Act, 74th Legislature, 1995 (<i>number of audits for which violations were disclosed</i>)	0	0%
Other	<i>Please Enter Yes or No</i>		
	Environmental management systems in place for one year or more	No	0%
	Voluntary on-site compliance assessments conducted by the executive director under a special assistance program	No	0%
	Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program	No	0%
	Early compliance with, or offer of a product that meets future state or federal government environmental requirements	No	0%

Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 2) 50%>> **Repeat Violator (Subtotal 3)**No **Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 3)** 0%>> **Compliance History Person Classification (Subtotal 7)**Average Performer **Adjustment Percentage (Subtotal 7)** 0%>> **Compliance History Summary****Compliance History Notes**

Upward adjustment due to eight same or similar self-reported NOVs and two other NOVs issued for same or similar violations.

Total Adjustment Percentage (Subtotals 2, 3, & 7) 50%

Screening Date 10-Jan-2005**Docket No.** 2005-0051-MWD-E**PCW****Respondent** Brookeland Independent School District

Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)

Case ID No. 23644

PCW Revision December 10, 2004

Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101517308**Additional ID No(s).** TPDES No. 13092001**Media [Statute]** Water Quality**Enf. Coordinator** Merrilee Hupp**Violation Number**

1

Primary Rule Cite(s)**Secondary Rule Cite(s)**30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(5) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001,
Operational Requirement No. 1**Violation Description**

Failure to properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control. Specifically, corrosion was observed throughout the wastewater treatment facility ("WWTF"), the settled volume for the mixed liquor was 94 percent (%), the sludge volume index was 245, and the sludge blanket depth in the clarifier was 73% of its operating depth. These measurements were all beyond the recommended process control levels and served as an indication of the WWTF retaining old solids which could then wash out of the plant during peak flow periods.

Base Penalty \$10,000>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

OR	Harm				
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
	Actual				
	Potential			x	
				Percent	5%

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

	Falsification			
	Major	Moderate	Minor	
Matrix Notes				Percent

Failure to properly operate and maintain the wastewater treatment facility may result in corrosion throughout the plant walls and piping as well as the accumulation of solids which could result in a discharge of an insignificant amount of pollutants below levels protective of the environment or human health.

Adjustment -\$9,500**Base Penalty Subtotal** \$500**Violation Events****Number of Violation Events** 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$500

One single event is recommended.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation**Statutory Limit Test****Estimated EB Amount** \$5,147**Violation Final Penalty Total** \$750**This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits)** \$750

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Brookeland Independent School District
 Case ID No. 23644
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101517308
 Additional ID No(s). TPDES No. 13092001
 Media [Statute] Water Quality
 Violation No. 1

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction	\$20,000	10-Feb-2003	14-Oct-2006	3.7	\$245	\$4,902	\$5,147
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs Cost is estimate for an engineer providing a solids management plan as well as an assessment and plan for a new wastewater treatment plant. Date required was date of initial notice of violation. Final date is when the construction of a new plant is expected to be completed.

Avoided Costs		ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)					
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$20,000**

TOTAL \$5,147

Screening Date	10-Jan-2005	Docket No.	2005-0051-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent	Brookeland Independent School District			<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>
Case ID No.	23644			<i>PCW Revision December 10, 2004</i>
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101517308			
Additional ID No(s).	TPDES No. 13092001			
Media [Statute]	Water Quality			
Enf. Coordinator	Merrilee Hupp			
Violation Number	2	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1), TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Final Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirements No. 2, and Tex. Water Code § 26.121(a)		
Primary Rule Cite(s)				
Secondary Rule Cite(s)				
Violation Description	Failed to comply with chlorine residual effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. 13092001. Specifically, the TPDES Permit No. 13092001 chlorine residual maximum concentration limit of 4.0 milligrams per liter (mg/L) was exceeded in April 2004, reported as 7.0 mg/L.			
Base Penalty			\$10,000	

>> Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix

		Harm			
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual			X	Percent 10%
	Potential				

>> Programmatic Matrix

		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
						Percent
Matrix Notes	Noncompliance with effluent limitations resulted in a release of an insignificant amount of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation.					

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	X
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One quarterly event is recommended for the non-compliant quarter including the month of April 2004, since compliance was achieved by May 31, 2004.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation

Estimated EB Amount \$0

Statutory Limit Test

Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,500

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,500

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Brookeland Independent School District
 Case ID No. 23644
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101517308
 Additional ID No(s). TPDES No. 13092001
 Media [Statute] Water Quality
 Violation No. 2

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment	\$50	30-Apr-2004	31-May-2004	0.1	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Cost is approximation for some additional equipment which was necessary to prevent excursions of the chlorine residual maximum permit limit.

Avoided Costs	ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)						
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$50****TOTAL** **\$0**

Screening Date 10-Jan-2005	Docket No. 2005-0051-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent Brookeland Independent School District	<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>	
Case ID No. 23644	<i>PCW Revision December 10, 2004</i>	
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101517308		
Additional ID No(s). TPDES No. 13092001		
Media [Statute] Water Quality		
Enf. Coordinator Merrilee Hupp		
Violation Number <input type="text" value="3"/>		
Primary Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirement No. 7c.	
Secondary Rule Cite(s)		
Violation Description	Failed to report, in writing, to the TCEQ Region 10 Office and the Enforcement Division, within five working days, the chlorine residual effluent violation which deviated from the permitted effluent maximum limitation by more than 40% in April 2004.	
Base Penalty		\$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text"/>
	Potential	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

	Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
	<input type="text"/>	x	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	Percent <input type="text" value="10%"/>

Matrix Notes 100 percent of the rule requirement was not met.

Adjustment -\$9,000

Base Penalty Subtotal \$1,000

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events <input type="text" value="1"/>		
mark only one use a small x	daily	<input type="text"/>
	monthly	<input type="text"/>
	quarterly	<input type="text"/>
	semiannual	<input type="text"/>
	annual	<input type="text"/>
	single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$1,000

One single event is recommended based on the investigation date of October 14, 2004 when the violation was documented.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation	Statutory Limit Test
Estimated EB Amount \$4	Violation Final Penalty Total \$1,500
This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$1,500	

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Brookeland Independent School District
 Case ID No. 23644
 Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101517308
 Additional ID No(s). TPDES No. 13092001
 Media [Statute] Water Quality
 Violation No. 3

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Avoided Costs		ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering item (except for one-time avoided costs)					
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling	\$25	30-Apr-2004	30-Jun-2004	0.2	\$0	\$4	\$4
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Notes for AVOIDED costs

Cost is that associated with reporting a deviation of over 40 percent of the permit effluent limitation in 2004.

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$25****TOTAL** **\$4**

Screening Date	10-Jan-2005	Docket No.	2005-0051-MWD-E	PCW
Respondent	Brookeland Independent School District			<i>Policy Revision 2 (September 2002)</i>
Case ID No.	23644			<i>PCW Revision December 10, 2004</i>
Reg. Ent. Reference No.	RN101517308			
Additional ID No(s).	TPDES No. 13092001			
Media [Statute]	Water Quality			
Enf. Coordinator	Merrilee Hupp			
Violation Number	4			
Primary Rule Cite(s)	30 Tex. Admin. Code §§ 30.331(b) and 30.350(d) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Operational Requirement No. 9			
Secondary Rule Cite(s)				
Violation Description	Failure by the permittee to employ a licensed wastewater treatment operator.			
Base Penalty				\$10,000

>> **Environmental, Property and Human Health Matrix**

		Harm			
	Release	Major	Moderate	Minor	
OR	Actual				Percent 5%
	Potential			x	

>> **Programmatic Matrix**

		Falsification	Major	Moderate	Minor	
						Percent

Matrix Notes: Operator was monitoring chlorine residuals without a current operator's license which could result in a release of an insignificant amount of pollutants which would not exceed levels that are protective of human health or environmental receptors as a result of the violation. The respondent did, however, have a certified operator present the majority of the time to operate the plant, including overseeing the chlorine monitoring procedures used by the operator whose license had expired.

Adjustment -\$9,500

Base Penalty Subtotal \$500

Violation Events

Number of Violation Events 1

mark only one use a small x	daily	
	monthly	
	quarterly	
	semiannual	
	annual	
	single event	x

Violation Base Penalty \$500

A single event is recommended based on the investigation date of October 14, 2004 when the violation was documented.

Economic Benefit (EB) for this violation**Statutory Limit Test**

Estimated EB Amount \$11

Violation Final Penalty Total \$750

This violation Final Assessed Penalty (adjusted for limits) \$750

Economic Benefit Worksheet

Respondent Brookeland Independent School District
Case ID No. 23644
Reg. Ent. Reference No. RN101517308
Additional ID No(s). TPDES No. 13092001
Media [Statute] Water Quality
Violation No. 4

Percent Interest	Years of Depreciation
5.0	15

Item Description	Item Cost No commas or \$	Date Required	Final Date	Yrs	Interest Saved	Onetime Costs	EB Amount
Delayed Costs							
Equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Buildings				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Engineering/construction				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Land				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Record Keeping System				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Training/Sampling	\$375	30-Apr-2004	14-Oct-2004	0.5	\$9	n/a	\$9
Remediation/Disposal				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0
Permit Costs	\$105	30-Apr-2004	14-Oct-2004	0.5	\$2	n/a	\$2
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	n/a	\$0

Notes for DELAYED costs

Cost reflects those expenses associated with training and application for a wastewater operator's license. Date required is when the operator's license expired and final date is when a licensed operator performed all the operations and monitoring of the wastewater treatment facility as required.

Avoided Costs							
ANNUALIZE [1] avoided costs before entering Item (except for one-time avoided costs)							
Disposal				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Personnel				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Inspection/Reporting/Sampling				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Supplies/equipment				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Financial Assurance [2]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
ONE-TIME avoided costs [3]				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (as needed)				0.0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Notes for AVOIDED costs							

Approx. Cost of Compliance **\$480****TOTAL** **\$11**

Compliance History

Customer/Respondent/Owner-Operator: CN600792956 Brookeland Independent School District Classification: AVERAGE Rating: 0.79

Regulated Entity: RN101517308 BROOKELAND INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DISTRICT Classification: AVERAGE Site Rating: 0.79

ID Number(s): WASTEWATER PERMIT WQ0013092001
 WASTEWATER PERMIT TPDES0099082
 WASTEWATER PERMIT TX0099082
 WASTEWATER LICENSING LICENSE WQ0013092001

Location: INTERSECTION OF STATE HIGHWAYS 149 & 165, Rating Date: September 01 04 Repeat Violator: BROOKELAND, TX, 75931 NO

TCEQ Region: REGION 10 - BEAUMONT

Date Compliance History Prepared: January 23, 2008

Agency Decision Requiring Compliance History: Enforcement

Compliance Period: January 05, 2000 to January 05, 2005

TCEQ Staff Member to Contact for Additional Information Regarding this Compliance History

Name: Michael Meyer Phone: (512) 239-4492

Site Compliance History Components

1. Has the site been in existence and/or operation for the full five year compliance period? No
2. Has there been a (known) change in ownership of the site during the compliance period? No
3. If Yes, who is the current owner? N/A
4. If Yes, who was/were the prior owner(s)? N/A
5. When did the change(s) in ownership occur? N/A

Components (Multimedia) for the Site :

A. Final Enforcement Orders, court judgements, and consent decrees of the state of Texas and the federal government.
 N/A

B. Any criminal convictions of the state of Texas and the federal government.
 N/A

C. Chronic excessive emissions events.
 N/A

D. The approval dates of investigations. (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

1	09/27/2000	(247497)
2	10/02/2000	(218827)
3	10/02/2000	(218866)
4	10/02/2000	(218862)
5	10/02/2000	(218850)
6	10/02/2000	(218847)
7	10/02/2000	(218844)
8	10/02/2000	(218841)
9	10/02/2000	(218838)
10	10/02/2000	(218834)
11	10/02/2000	(218833)
12	10/23/2000	(218853)
13	11/20/2000	(218856)
14	12/22/2000	(218859)
15	01/29/2001	(218863)
16	02/22/2001	(218828)
17	03/28/2001	(218831)
18	04/06/2001	(144699)
19	05/31/2001	(218839)
20	06/28/2001	(218842)
21	09/24/2001	(218851)

22	09/24/2001	(218848)
23	09/24/2001	(218845)
24	11/01/2001	(218854)
25	12/03/2001	(218857)
26	12/27/2001	(218860)
27	01/28/2002	(218864)
28	03/01/2002	(218829)
29	03/25/2002	(218832)
30	04/22/2002	(218836)
31	05/31/2002	(218840)
32	06/24/2002	(218843)
33	09/20/2002	(218849)
34	09/20/2002	(218846)
35	09/25/2002	(218852)
36	10/28/2002	(218855)
37	11/22/2002	(218858)
38	02/10/2003	(23761)
39	02/18/2003	(218830)
40	03/21/2003	(218865)
41	03/21/2003	(218861)
42	03/21/2003	(218835)
43	03/24/2003	(27305)
44	03/27/2003	(320906)
45	04/28/2003	(218837)
46	05/29/2003	(320908)
47	06/30/2003	(320909)
48	06/30/2003	(320910)
49	10/09/2003	(320911)
50	10/09/2003	(320912)
51	10/17/2003	(320913)
52	11/24/2003	(320914)
53	12/22/2003	(320915)
54	01/26/2004	(320916)
55	02/17/2004	(320905)
56	04/05/2004	(320907)
57	04/28/2004	(363264)
58	05/28/2004	(363265)
59	06/23/2004	(363266)
60	09/13/2004	(363267)
61	09/13/2004	(363268)
62	09/13/2004	(363269)
63	10/18/2004	(363270)
64	10/25/2004	(336681)
65	11/24/2004	(388148)
66	11/29/2004	(338087)
67	01/12/2005	(388149)
68	01/27/2005	(388150)

E. Written notices of violations (NOV). (CCEDS Inv. Track. No.)

Date 04/30/2000 (218838)

Self Report? YES

Classification

Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date 09/27/2000 (247497)

Self Report? NO

Classification

Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)

Description: NON-RPT VIOS FOR MONIT PER OR PIPE

Date 12/31/2000 (218863)

Self Report? YES

Classification

Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

Date	04/30/2001	(218839)		
Self Report?	YES		Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)			
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter			
Date	08/31/2001	(218851)		
Self Report?	YES		Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)			
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter			
Date	02/10/2003	(23761)		
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Moderate
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to discharge effluent that is compliant with permitted limitations			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Moderate
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to accurately measure the flow according to the permit requirement			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Moderate
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to discharge effluent that is compliant with permitted limitations			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Moderate
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to accurately accomplish measurements, tests, and calculations in a representative manner			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Moderate
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to submit noncompliance notification as required by the permit			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Minor
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to analyze chlorine residual correctly.			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Moderate
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to dispose of sludge properly			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Minor
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to submit the annual sludge report by September 1			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Minor
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to have the results of the toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) readily available for review.			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Minor
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(5)			
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control.			
Self Report?	NO		Classification	Minor
Rqmt Prov:	OP 13092-001			
Description:	Failure to ensure that all systems of collection, treatment, and disposal are properly operated and maintained.			
Date	05/31/2003	(320909)		
Self Report?	YES		Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)			
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter			
Date	10/31/2003	(320914)		
Self Report?	YES		Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)			
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter			
Date	04/30/2004	(363265)		
Self Report?	YES		Classification	Moderate
Citation:	30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1) TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)			
Description:	Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter			

Date 05/31/2004 (363266)

Self Report? YES

Classification Moderate

Citation: 30 TAC Chapter 305, SubChapter F 305.125(1)
TWC Chapter 26 26.121(a)

Description: Failure to meet the limit for one or more permit parameter

F. Environmental audits.

N/A

G. Type of environmental management systems (EMSs).

N/A

H. Voluntary on-site compliance assessment dates.

N/A

I. Participation in a voluntary pollution reduction program.

N/A

J. Early compliance.

N/A

Sites Outside of Texas

N/A

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY



IN THE MATTER OF AN	§	BEFORE THE
ENFORCEMENT ACTION	§	
CONCERNING	§	
BROOKELAND INDEPENDENT	§	
SCHOOL DISTRICT	§	TEXAS COMMISSION ON
TEXAS POLLUTANT DISCHARGE	§	
ELIMINATION SYSTEM ("TPDES")	§	
PERMIT NO. 13092001	§	
RN101517308	§	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

AGREED ORDER DOCKET NO. 2005-0051-MWD-E

I. JURISDICTION AND STIPULATIONS

At its _____ agenda, the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("the Commission" or "TCEQ") considered this agreement of the parties, resolving an enforcement action regarding Brookeland Independent School District ("Brookeland ISD") under the authority of TEX. WATER CODE chs. 7 and 26. The Executive Director of the TCEQ, through the Enforcement Division, and Brookeland ISD appear before the Commission and together stipulate that:

1. Brookeland ISD owns and operates a wastewater treatment facility on the east side of State Highway Loop No. 149, approximately 1,000 feet south of the intersection of State Highway Loop No. 149 and State Highway Spur No. 165 in Brookeland, Sabine County, Texas (the "Facility").
2. Brookeland ISD has discharged municipal waste into or adjacent to any water in the state under TEX. WATER CODE ch. 26.
3. The Commission and Brookeland ISD agree that the Commission has jurisdiction to enter this Agreed Order, and that Brookeland ISD is subject to the Commission's jurisdiction.
4. Brookeland ISD received notice of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations") on or about December 4, 2004.
5. The occurrence of any violation is in dispute and the entry of this Agreed Order shall not constitute an admission by Brookeland ISD of any violation alleged in Section II ("Allegations"), nor of any statute or rule.
6. An administrative penalty in the amount of Four Thousand Five Hundred Dollars (\$4,500) is assessed by the Commission in settlement of the violations alleged in Section II ("Allegations").

Three Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$3,600) shall be conditionally offset by Brookeland ISD's completion of a Supplemental Environmental Project. Nine Hundred Dollars (\$900) is deferred contingent upon Brookeland ISD's timely and satisfactory compliance with all the terms of this Agreed Order. The deferred amount will be waived upon full compliance with the terms of this Agreed Order. If Brookeland ISD fails to timely and satisfactorily comply with all requirements of this Agreed Order, the Executive Director may require Brookeland ISD to pay all or part of the deferred penalty.

7. Any notice and procedures which might otherwise be authorized or required in this action are waived in the interest of a more timely resolution of the matter.
8. The Executive Director of the TCEQ and Brookeland ISD have agreed on a settlement of the matters alleged in this enforcement action, subject to the approval of the Commission.
9. The Executive Director recognizes that Brookeland ISD has implemented the following corrective measures at the Facility:
 - a. On October 14, 2004, assigned a wastewater operator with a current class C license, expiring on December 5, 2006, to perform all operations and monitoring of the Facility;
 - b. On October 15, 2004, pumped out settled solids from receiving waters which had accumulated in the past;
 - c. On October 20, 2004, purchased new standard solutions for the chlorine residual analysis for quality assurance and control; and
 - d. On May 31, 2004, achieved compliance with effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. 13092001.
10. The Executive Director may, without further notice or hearing, refer this matter to the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Texas ("OAG") for further enforcement proceedings if the Executive Director determines that Brookeland ISD has not complied with one or more of the terms or conditions in this Agreed Order.
11. This Agreed Order shall terminate five years from its effective date or upon compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order, whichever is later.
12. The provisions of this Agreed Order are deemed severable and, if a court of competent jurisdiction or other appropriate authority deems any provision of this Agreed Order unenforceable, the remaining provisions shall be valid and enforceable.

II. ALLEGATIONS

As owner and operator of the Facility, Brookeland ISD is alleged to have:

1. Failed to properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(5) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Operational Requirement No. 1, as documented during an investigation conducted on October 14, 2004.
2. Failed to comply with the chlorine residual effluent limitations of TPDES Permit No. 13092001, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1), TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Final Effluent Limitations and Monitoring Requirement No. 2, and TEX. WATER CODE § 26.121(a), as documented during an investigation conducted on October 14, 2004. Specifically, Brookeland ISD exceeded the TPDES Permit No. 13092001 chlorine residual maximum concentration limit of 4.0 milligrams per liter (mg/L) in April 2004, reported as 7.0 mg/L.
3. Failed to report, in writing, to the TCEQ Region 10 Office and the Enforcement Division, within five working days, any effluent violation which deviated from the permitted effluent limitation by more than 40%, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 305.125(1) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Monitoring and Reporting Requirement No. 7c., as documented during an investigation conducted on October 14, 2004.
4. Failed to employ a licensed wastewater treatment operator to perform chlorine residual analysis and monitoring, in violation of 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE §§ 30.331(b) and 30.350(d) and TPDES Permit No. 13092001, Operational Requirement No. 9, as documented during an investigation conducted on October 14, 2004.

III. DENIALS

Brookeland ISD generally denies each allegation in Section II ("Allegations").

IV. ORDERING PROVISIONS

1. It is, therefore, ordered by the TCEQ that Brookeland ISD pay an administrative penalty as set forth in Section I, Paragraph 6 above. The imposition of this administrative penalty and Brookeland ISD's compliance with all the terms and conditions set forth in this Agreed Order resolve only the allegations in Section II. The Commission shall not be constrained in any manner from requiring corrective action or penalties for violations which are not raised here. Administrative penalty payments shall be made payable to "TCEQ" and shall be sent with the notation "Re: Brookeland Independent School District, Docket No. 2005-0051-MWD-E" to:

the first of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same.

The second of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The third of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The fourth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same.

The fifth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The sixth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The seventh of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The eighth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same.

The ninth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The tenth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The eleventh of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same.

The twelfth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The thirteenth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same.

The fourteenth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The fifteenth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same.

The sixteenth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The seventeenth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same.

The eighteenth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The nineteenth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same. The twentieth of these is the fact that the system is not a simple one, and that the results are not always the same.

Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section
Attention: Cashier's Office, MC 214
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

2. Brookeland ISD shall implement and complete a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP") in accordance with TEX. WATER CODE § 7.067. As set forth in Section I, paragraph 6 above, Three Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$3,600) of the assessed administrative penalty shall be offset with the condition that Brookeland ISD implement the SEP defined in Attachment A, incorporated herein by reference. Brookeland ISD's obligation to pay the conditionally offset portion of the administrative penalty shall be discharged upon final completion of all provisions of the SEP agreement.
3. It is further ordered that Brookeland ISD shall undertake the following technical requirements:
 - a. Immediately upon the effective date of this Agreed Order, begin properly operating and maintaining the Facility and all of its systems of collection, treatment, and disposal. This includes the development of a solids management plan ("SMP"). The SMP shall outline a program of internal process control testing to monitor the efficiency of the wastewater treatment plant and to maintain the proper solids balance within the system. The SMP shall be prepared by a Texas registered professional engineer or a class "A" TCEQ Certified Wastewater Operator;
 - b. Within 90 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, have the engineer or a class "A" operator review the SMP in the field with Brookeland ISD's wastewater treatment plant operator(s). Immediately following the field review, implement the SMP;
 - c. Immediately upon implementation of the SMP, begin maintaining organized, written records of the process control tests results for a period of three years at the plant site in a daily log book and/or checklist and make available to TCEQ representatives upon request; and
 - d. Within 105 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, submit a written certification statement demonstrating compliance with Ordering Provisions 3.a., 3.b., and 3.c. to:

Work Leader
Team 5, Section III
Enforcement Division, MC 149
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

with a copy to:

Ms. Heather Ross, Manager
Water Section
Beaumont Regional Office
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
3870 Eastex Freeway, Beaumont
Beaumont, Texas 77703-1892

4. The provisions of this Agreed Order shall apply to and be binding upon Brookeland ISD. Brookeland ISD is ordered to give notice of the Agreed Order to personnel who maintain day-to-day control over the Facility operations referenced in this Agreed Order.
5. If Brookeland ISD fails to comply with any of the Ordering Provisions in this Agreed Order within the prescribed schedules, and that failure is caused solely by an act of God, war, strike, riot, or other catastrophe, Brookeland ISD's failure to comply is not a violation of this Agreed Order. Brookeland ISD shall have the burden of establishing to the Executive Director's satisfaction that such an event has occurred. Brookeland ISD shall notify the Executive Director within seven days after Brookeland ISD becomes aware of a delaying event and shall take all reasonable measures to mitigate and minimize any delay.
6. The Executive Director may grant an extension of any deadline in this Agreed Order or in any plan, report, or other document submitted pursuant to this Agreed Order, upon a written and substantiated showing of good cause. All requests for extensions by Brookeland ISD shall be made in writing to the Executive Director. Extensions are not effective until Brookeland ISD receives written approval from the Executive Director. The determination of what constitutes good cause rests solely with the Executive Director.
7. This Agreed Order, issued by the Commission, shall not be admissible against Brookeland ISD in a civil proceeding, unless the proceeding is brought by the OAG to: (1) enforce the terms of this Agreed Order; or (2) pursue violations of a statute within the Commission's jurisdiction, or of a rule adopted or an order or permit issued by the Commission under such a statute.
8. This agreement may be executed in multiple counterparts, which together shall constitute a single original instrument. Any executed signature page to this Agreement may be transmitted by facsimile transmission to the other parties, which shall constitute an original signature for all purposes.
9. Under 30 TEX. ADMIN. CODE § 70.10(b), the effective date is the date of hand-delivery of the Order to Brookeland ISD, or three days after the date on which the Commission mails notice of the Order to Brookeland ISD, whichever is earlier. The Chief Clerk shall provide a copy of this Agreed Order to each of the parties.

Brookeland Independent School District
DOCKET NO. 2005-0051-MWD-E
Page 6

SIGNATURE PAGE

TEXAS COMMISSION ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

For the Commission

I, the undersigned, have read and understand the attached Agreed Order. I am authorized to agree to the attached Agreed Order on behalf of the entity, if any, indicated below my signature, and I do agree to the terms and conditions specified therein.

Lana L. Comeaux
Signature

08/30/2007
Date

Lana L. Comeaux
Name (Printed or typed)
Authorized Representative of
Brookeland Independent School District

Superintendent
Title

[Signature]
For the Executive Director

2/7/2008
Date

Instructions: Send the original, signed Agreed Order with penalty payment to the Financial Administration Division, Revenues Section at the address in Section IV, Paragraph 1 of this Agreed Order.

Lc
8/30/07

1. The first part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

2. The second part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

3. The third part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

4. The fourth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

5. The fifth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

6. The sixth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

7. The seventh part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

8. The eighth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

9. The ninth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

10. The tenth part of the document is a list of names and addresses of the members of the committee.

Attachment A
Docket Number: 2005-0051-MWD-E

SUPPLEMENTAL ENVIRONMENTAL PROJECT

Respondent:	Brookeland Independent School District
Payable Penalty Amount:	Three Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$3,600)
SEP Amount:	Three Thousand Six Hundred Dollars (\$3,600)
Type of SEP:	Pre-approved
Third-Party Recipient:	Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc. ("RC&D")- Wastewater Treatment Assistance
Location of SEP:	Sabine County

The Texas Commission on Environmental Quality ("TCEQ") agrees to offset a portion of the administrative Penalty Amount assessed in this Agreed Order for the Respondent to contribute to a Supplemental Environmental Project ("SEP"). The offset is equal to the SEP Amount set forth above and is conditioned upon completion of the project in accordance with the terms of this Attachment A.

1. Project Description

A. Project

The Respondent shall contribute to the Third-Party Recipient pursuant to the agreement between the Third-Party Recipient and the TCEQ. Specifically, the contribution will be used to provide low income rural homeowners with assistance to enable the repair or replacement of their failing on-site wastewater systems. SEP monies will be used to pay for the labor and materials costs related to repairing or replacing the failing systems. The recipients will not be charged for the cost of replacing or repairing the failing systems.

The Respondent certifies that there is no prior commitment to do this project and that it is being performed solely in an effort to settle this enforcement action.

B. Environmental Benefit

This SEP will provide a discernible environmental benefit by protecting water sources for drinking, recreation, and wildlife from contamination from failing treatment systems

C. Minimum Expenditure

The Respondent shall contribute at least the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient and comply with all other provisions of this SEP.

2. Performance Schedule

Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreed Order, the Respondent must contribute the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail the contribution, with a copy of the Agreed Order, to:

Texas Association of Resource Conservation and Development Areas, Inc.
1716 Briarcrest Drive
Bryan, Texas 77802-2700

3. Records and Reporting

Concurrent with the payment of the SEP Amount, the Respondent shall provide the TCEQ SEP Coordinator with a copy of the check and transmittal letter indicating full payment of the SEP Amount to the Third-Party Recipient. The Respondent shall mail a copy of the check and transmittal letter to:

Enforcement Division
Attention: SEP Coordinator, MC 219
Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

4. Failure to Fully Perform

If the Respondent does not perform its obligations under this SEP in any way, including full payment of the SEP Amount and submittal of the required reporting described in Section 3 above, the Executive Director may require immediate payment of all or part of the SEP Amount.

The check for any amount due shall be made out to "Texas Commission on Environmental Quality" and mailed to:

Texas Commission on Environmental Quality
Financial Administration Division, Revenues
Attention: Cashier, MC 214
P.O. Box 13088
Austin, Texas 78711-3088

The Respondent shall also mail a copy of the check to the TCEQ SEP Coordinator at the address in Section 3 above.

5. Publicity

Any public statements concerning this SEP made by or on behalf of the Respondent must include a clear statement that the project was performed as part of the settlement of an enforcement action brought by the TCEQ. Such statements include advertising, public relations, and press releases.

6. Clean Texas Program

The Respondent shall not include this SEP in any application made to TCEQ under the "Clean Texas" (or any successor) program(s). Similarly, the Respondent may not seek recognition for this contribution in any other state or federal regulatory program.

7. Other SEPs by TCEQ or Other Agencies

The SEP identified in this Agreed Order has not been, and shall not be, included as an SEP for the Respondent under any other Agreed Order negotiated with the TCEQ or any other agency of the state or federal government.

